On-Farm Trials laid on 2018-19

<u>OFT-1</u>

| 1 | Title | Dormancy breaking in Potato |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | Problem Diagnose/defined | Sprouting in Potato |
| 3 | Details of technologies selected for assessment/refinement | Soaking of whole tubers in a solution containing 1% thiourea and 1 ppm GA3 |
| 4 | Source of technology | SKUAST -K |
| 5 | Production system thematic area | Crop production |
| 6 | Thematic area | Crop Production |
| 7 | Performance of the Technology with performance indicators | Satisfactory |
| 8 | Final recommendation for micro level situation | Two crops of potato can be cultivated by adopting the said technology |
| 9 | Constraints identified and feedback for research | Incidence of rodents damage is more which results in loss of yield |
| 10 | Process of farmer's participation and their reaction | Satisfactory |

Results of On Farm Trial-1

| Crop/ enterprise | Farming situation | Problem Diagnosed | Title of OFT | No. of trials | Technology Assessed | Parameters of Assessment | Data on the Parameter | Results of assess ment | Feedback from the farmer |
|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Potato | Irrigated | Sprouting | Dormancy breaking in Potato | 03 Palpora Noorbagh KVK Sgr | 1% thiourea and 1 ppm gibberlic acid | Yield | See table -1 | Satisfactory | Steps should be taken to control rodent damage |

Area: 5 Marlas

| | Location 1 | | Loca | tion 2 | Loca | tion 3 |
|-------------------|------------|-----------|---------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| | Palpora | | Noor | rbagh | KVK Srinagar | |
| Crop | Treated | Untreated | Treated | Untreated | Treated | Untreated |
| | (Kgs) | (Kgs) | (Kgs) | (Kgs) | (Kgs) | (Kgs) |
| Kufri girdhari | 312.5 | 250 | 302 | 237 | 307 | 229 |
| Kufri surya | 225 | 192 | 213.7 | 187 | 217 | 179 |
| Kufri giriraj | 262.5 | 202 | 257.4 | 200 | 270 | 198.6 |

| 1 | Title | Clotches for early seedling production |
|----|---------------------------------------|---|
| 2 | Problem Diagnose/defined | Nursery failure |
| 3 | Details of technologies selected for | Black polythene, paddy straw and white |
| | assessment/refinement | polythene |
| 4 | Source of technology | SKUAST-K |
| 5 | Production system thematic area | Crop production |
| 6 | Thematic area | Crop production |
| 7 | Performance of the Technology with | Satisfactory |
| | performance indicators | |
| 8 | Final recommendation for micro level | Clotches with white polythene showed better |
| | situation | results |
| 9 | Constraints identified and feedback | - |
| | for research | |
| 10 | Process of farmer's participation and | Farmers participation was active as the |
| | their reaction | results were satisfactory |

Results of On Farm Trial –2

| Crop/ enterprise | Farming situation | Problem Diagnosed | Title of OFT | No. of trials | Technology Assessed | Parameters of | Data on the | Results of | Feedback from the |
|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---|------------------|---|------------------|----------------|---------------|--|
| | | 8 | | | | Assessment | Parameter | assess | farmer |
| | | | | | | | | ment | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Tomato (S-II) | Irrigated | Nursery failure | Clotches for early seedling production | 01 KVK Sgr | Use of black polythene, paddy straw & white ploythene | Yield | See table -2 | Table 2 | Satisfactory and with higher rate of adoption |

| Сгор | Parameters | T1 | T2 | Т3 |
|---------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|
| | | Black Polythene | Paddy Straw | White Polythene |
| Tomato (S-II) | Germination % | 81% | 87% | 90% |
| | Days taken to 50% germination | 12 days | 17 days | 15 days |
| | %age mortality | 19% | 13% | 10% |

| 1 | Title | A study on Farmers Practice and Recommended Nutrient Management Practices in Brown Sarson (KS-101) |
|----|---------------------------------------|--|
| 2 | Problem Diagnose/defined | Low adaptation of soil test based recommended practice by farmers. |
| 3 | Details of technologies selected for | Application of soil test based nutrient |
| | assessment/refinement | management |
| 4 | Source of technology | SKUAST-K |
| 5 | Production system thematic area | Crop production |
| 6 | Thematic area | Crop production |
| 7 | Performance of the Technology with | Crop production increased using nutrient |
| | performance indicators | management which resulted in increase of |
| | | yield. |
| 8 | Final recommendation for micro level | Soil test based fertilizer application |
| | situation | |
| 9 | Constraints identified and feedback | - |
| | for research | |
| 10 | Process of farmer's participation and | Farmers were involved learning by doing |
| | their reaction | |

Results of On Farm Trial -3

| Crop/ enterprise | Farming situation | Problem Diagnosed | Title of OFT | No. of trials | Technology Assessed | Parameters of Assessment | Data on the Parameter | Results of assess ment | Feedback from the farmer |
|---------------------|-------------------|---|--|-------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Brown Sarson | Irrigated | Low adaptation of soil test based recommended practice by farmers. | A study on Farmers Practice and Recommended Nutrient Management Practices in Brown Sarson | 02 Telbal Khonmoh | Soil test based nutrient management | Yield | See table-3 | Increase in yield & yield attribute characters | Satisfactory |

| Treatment | Plant height(cm) | Branchplant ⁻¹ | Siliquae plant ⁻¹ | Seed siliqua ⁻¹ | 1000 seed weight (g) | Seed yield (q ha ⁻¹) |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| T1=(Farmers practice) | 85.52 | 7.06 | 112 | 9.2 | 3.62 | 7.39 |
| T2= (Recommended NPK) | 90.22 | 9.92 | 138 | 9.6 | 3.68 | 10.70 |
| T3=STB fertilizer application | 94.30 | 10.28 | 144 | 10.2 | 3.71 | 12.06 |

| 1 | Title | Evaluation of feed supplement on milk production and reproductive performance in dairy Cattle | | | | |
|----|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Problem Diagnose/defined | Poor production performance. Anestrous and repeat breeding | | | | |
| 3 | Details of technologies selected for assessment/refinement | Mineral mixture supplementation | | | | |
| 4 | Source of technology | SKUAST-K | | | | |
| 5 | Production system thematic area | Milk yield | | | | |
| 6 | Thematic area | Dairy | | | | |
| 7 | Performance of the Technology with | Increased milk production and low incidence | | | | |
| | performance indicators | of repeat breeding | | | | |
| 8 | Final recommendation for micro | Supplementation by mineral mixtures | | | | |
| | level situation | enhance milk yield. | | | | |
| 9 | Constraints identified and feedback | Farmers felt difficultly in giving intra | | | | |
| | for research | muscular injection. | | | | |
| 10 | Process of farmer's participation | Farmers prefer supplementation for increased | | | | |
| | and their reaction | milk production and profitability | | | | |

Results of On Farm Trial – 4

| Crop/ enterprise | Farming situation | Problem Diagnosed | Title of OFT | No. of trials | Technology Assessed | Parameters of Assessment | Data on the Parameter | Results of assess ment | Feedback from the farmer |
|---------------------|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Dairy animal | Un balanced feeding of animals | Poor production performance, Anestrous & repeat breeding | Evaluation of feed supplement on milk production and reproductive performance in dairy Cattle | 02 08 cows/trial/ treatment | Mineral mixture supplementation & incidence of repeat breeding | Milk yield | Increased milk production from 12.3 lts/day to 15.3lts/day/animal | Increased production | Farmers are satisfied with the results |

Yield data: Yield (liters/animal/day

| Treatments | | Yield (liters | |
|------------|------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | /animal/day) | |
| T1 | No mineral mixture | 12.3 | High incidence of anestrous (30 % of |
| | | | period of observation. |
| T2 | Mineral mixture (30 g/ day) | 14.1 | Very low level of anestrous (60 % of |
| | | | cows came into heat within 03 months |
| | | | period of observation. |
| T3 | Mineral mixture (30 g/ day)+ | 15.3 | Low incidence of anestrous (80 % of |
| | Tonophosphan | | cows came into heat within 03 months |
| | | | period of observation. |

OFT -5

| 1 | Title Integrated Nutrient Management on growt yield parameters of Maize (Variety:- SMC7) Description Integrated Nutrient Management on growt yield parameters of Maize (Variety:- SMC7) | | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Problem Diagnose/defined | Low yield | | | | | |
| 3 | Details of technologies selected for assessment/refinement | Integrated Nutrient Management. | | | | | |
| 4 | Source of technology | SKUAST-K | | | | | |
| 5 | Production system thematic area | Crop production | | | | | |
| 6 | Thematic area | Crop production with reference to nutrient | | | | | |
| | | management. | | | | | |
| 7 | Performance of the Technology with | Increase in yield. | | | | | |
| | performance indicators | | | | | | |
| 8 | Final recommendation for micro | Integrated nutrient management | | | | | |
| | level situation | | | | | | |
| 9 | Constraints identified and feedback | No constraint for the technology-advocated | | | | | |
| | for research | | | | | | |
| 10 | Process of farmer's participation | Learning by doing & seeing is believing | | | | | |
| | and their reaction | | | | | | |

Results of On Farm Trial – 5

| Crop/ enterprise | Farming situation | Problem Diagnosed | Title of OFT | No. of trials | Technology Assessed | Parameters of Assessment | Data on the Parameter | Results of assess ment | Feedback from the farmer |
|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--|--|---|---|--------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Maize | Irrigated | Low yield | Integrated Nutrient Management on growth and yield parameters of Maize | Total: 05 Gund Hasibhat(03) Check Dhara (02) | INM 1. Inorganic ferlizer 2.Vermicompost 2.Bioferilizer (Azotobacter and PSB) | Increase in yield & yield attribute characters | See table-4 | Increase in yield | Satisfied |

| Variety | Plant height | Cob length (cm) | No of cobs/plant | Kernal row/cob | No. of grains/cob | 100 seed weight(g) | Yield g/ha |
|--|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| | (cm) | | - | | 0 | ð (ð | - |
| T1: Farmers practice | 150.3 | 16.00 | 1.00 | 12.00 | 478 | 23.90 | 45.00 |
| T2: Recommended NPK application | 196.8 | 20.00 | 2.00 | 14.00 | 523.79 | 26.57 | 53.40 |
| T3:Recommanded NPK + Vermicompost +Biofertilizer | 200.4 | 23.60 | 2.00 | 18.00 | 550.2 | 30.33 | 56.00 |

| 1 | Title | Nutrient fungicide compatibility in apple |
|----|---------------------------------------|---|
| | Problem Diagnose/defined | water core, bitter pit |
| 3 | Details of technologies selected for | Calcium with fungicide |
| | assessment/refinement | |
| 4 | Source of technology | SKUAST-K |
| 5 | Production system thematic area | Crop production |
| 6 | Thematic area | Fruit Quality |
| 7 | Performance of the Technology with | Satisfactory |
| | performance indicators | |
| 8 | Final recommendation for micro level | Needs repeated trial |
| | situation | |
| 9 | Constraints identified and feedback | Adoptability |
| | for research | |
| 10 | Process of farmer's participation and | Satisfactory |
| | their reaction | |

Results of On Farm Trial – 6

| Crop/ enterprise | Farming situation | Problem Diagnosed | Title of OFT | No. of trials | Technology Assessed | Parameters of Assessment | Data on the Parameter | Results of assess ment | Feedback from the farmer |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|---|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Apple | Irrigated & un- irrigated | water core, bitter pit | Nutrient fungicide computability in apple | 03 Faqirgujri Darbagh Tailbel | Use of nutrient with fungicide | Compatibility and physical disorders | Table-5 | Continued | Satisfied |

| Yield: kg/tree | | | | Disease incidence % | | | Effect of Ca on fruit firmness (lb.psi) | | |
|----------------|-----------|---------|--------|---------------------|---------|--------|--|---------|--------|
| Variety | Faqigujri | Darbagh | Taibal | Faqigujri | Darbagh | Taibal | Faqirgujri | Darbagh | Taibal |
| T1: | 149.24 | 146.52 | 155.78 | 17.2 | 21.9 | 18.3 | 16.16 | 15.36 | 16.06 |
| T2: | 155.36 | 159.43 | 163.57 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 16.76 | 16.46 | 17.01 |
| Т3: | 158.87 | 162.81 | 165.43 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 17.09 | 16.67 | 17.19 |

| Management of pre harvest fruit drop |
|---|
| Fruit abscise from the tree to harvest |
| d for NAA |
| |
| SKUAST-K |
| rea Crop production |
| Yield |
| y with Increase fruit yield. |
| |
| ro level Application of NAA controls fruit drop |
| |
| dback - |
| |
| on and Adopted satisfactorily by progressive |
| farmers |
| |
| |

Results of On Farm Trial – 7

| Crop/ enterprise | Farming situation | Problem Diagnosed | Title of OFT | No. of trials | Technology Assessed | Parameters of Assessment | Data on the Parameter | Results of assess ment | Feedback from the farmer |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Apple | Irrigated & un- irrigated | Pre mature fruit drop | Management of pre harvest fruit drop | 03 Chitrihama Harwan Ranbirgrah | Use of NAA for control of fruit drop | Yield estimate | See table- | See table | Satisfied |

Table-Age of the tree = 25 years,
Variety =Crop: (Apple)Variety =Red delicious

| Time of | Effect of NAA on fruit drop % | | | | Time of spray | Effect of NAA on yield of apple trees (kgs/tree) | | | |
|------------|----------------------------------|---------|-----------|--------|------------------|---|------------|--------|------------|
| spray | | | | | | | | | |
| | NAA | Darbagh | Faqigujri | Taibal | 20 days | NAA | Chatrehama | New | Ranbirgrah |
| 20 | conc. | | | | harvest | Conc. | | theed | |
| days | 0 | 25.4 | 19.6 | 20.6 | | 18.3 | 161.92 | 153.18 | 144.62 |
| naivest | 10 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.8 | | 2.1 | 172.44 | 158.87 | 159.03 |
| | 15 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.0 | | 1.1 | 169.69 | 156.18 | 154.81 |

| 1 | Title | Management of cut worm in vegetables |
|----|---------------------------------------|---|
| | Problem Diagnose/defined | Cut worm damage |
| 3 | Details of technologies selected for | Drenching of Alphamethrin, carbofuron |
| | assessment/refinement | granules application. |
| 4 | Source of technology | SKUAST-K |
| 5 | Production system thematic area | Crop production |
| 6 | Thematic area | IPM of cutworm |
| 7 | Performance of the Technology with | Performance of the technology satisfactory |
| | performance indicators | in controlling cutworm damage |
| 8 | Final recommendation for micro level | In case of severe infestation / quick |
| | situation | knockdown application of alphametrin may be |
| | | carried out |
| 9 | Constraints identified and feedback | - |
| | for research | |
| 10 | Process of farmer's participation and | Farmers were cooperating and got satisfied |
| | their reaction | |

Results of On Farm Trial – 8

| Crop/ enterprise | Farming situation | Problem Diagnosed | Title of OFT | No. of trials | Technology Assessed | Parameters of Assessment | Data on the Parameter | Results of assess ment | Feedback from the farmer |
|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Chilli & Kale | Irrigated | Cut worm | Management of cut worm in vegetables | 02 Noorbagh Narkura | Application of Alphametrin | Plant mortality | See table- | Satisfac tory | Satisfied |

| Treatments | | Plant Mortality (%) |
|------------|---|---------------------|
| T1 | Farmers practice | 29 |
| T2 | Recommended practice | 13 |
| Т3 | Alphamethrin drenching @ 1.2 ml / liter of water | 03 |

| 1 | Title | Management of Chilli Wilt |
|----|---------------------------------------|---|
| | Problem Diagnose/defined | Fusarium wilt |
| 3 | Details of technologies selected for | Carbendazium drenching and application |
| | assessment/refinement | of trigoderma harzianun |
| 4 | Source of technology | SKUAST-K |
| 5 | Production system thematic area | Crop production |
| 6 | Thematic area | IDM in Chilli |
| 7 | Performance of the Technology with | Application of trigoderma in compost & |
| | performance indicators | mixed with soil followed by carbendazium |
| | | showed best results in management of chilli |
| | | wilt |
| 8 | Final recommendation for micro level | Trigoderma application should be done in |
| | situation | compost |
| 9 | Constraints identified and feedback | - |
| | for research | |
| 10 | Process of farmer's participation and | Farmers were cooperating and got satisfied |
| | their reaction | |

Results of On Farm Trial –9

| Crop/ enterprise | Farming situation | Problem Diagnosed | Title of OFT | No. of trials | Technology Assessed | Parameters of Assessment | Data on the Parameter | Results of assess ment | Feedback from the farmer |
|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Chlli | Irrigated | Wilting of seedlings | Management of chilli wilt | 02 Noorbagh Narkura | Application of trigoderma followed by drenching of carbendazium | Plant mortality | See table- | Satisfac tory | Satisfied |

| Treatments | | Plant mortality (%) |
|------------|--|---------------------|
| T1 | Farmers practice | 35 |
| T2 | Drenching of carbendazium | 11 |
| Т3 | Application of <i>trigoderma</i> followed by drenching of carbendazium | 06 |

| 1 | Title | Effect of Boron on yield and quality of |
|----|---------------------------------------|--|
| | | Saffron |
| | Problem Diagnose/defined | Low yield |
| 3 | Details of technologies selected for | Recommended NPK + 0.15% boron |
| | assessment/refinement | |
| 4 | Source of technology | SKUAST-K |
| 5 | Production system thematic area | Crop production |
| 6 | Thematic area | Saffron production |
| 7 | Performance of the Technology with | Yield |
| | performance indicators | |
| 8 | Final recommendation for micro level | Increase in the yield and yield attributed |
| | situation | characters |
| 9 | Constraints identified and feedback | No constraints |
| | for research | |
| 10 | Process of farmer's participation and | Farmers were cooperating and got |
| | their reaction | satisfied by the results. |

Results of On Farm Trial –10

| Crop/ enterprise | Farming situation | Problem Diagnosed | Title of OFT | No. of trials | Technology Assessed | Parameters of Assessment | Data on the Parameter | Results of assess ment | Feedback from the farmer |
|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---|------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Saffron | Un irrigated | Low yield | Effect of Boron on yield and quality of saffron | 02 Balhama | Recommended NPK + 0.15% boron | yield | See table- | Satisfac tory | Satisfied |

Results

| Treatments | Plant height (cm) | No. of shoots/corm | Flower weight (g) | Length of stigma (mm) | Stigma weight (g) | Flower yield (kg/kanal) | Dry saffron yield(kg/kanal) |
|---|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| T1: Control | 28.0 | 6.08 | 0.200 | 28.9 | 0.019 | 30 | 0.39 |
| T2: Recommended NPK | 29.9 | 7.17 | 0.215 | 29.0 | 0.022 | 35 | 0.47 |
| T3: Recommended NPK+ 0.15% Boron | 30.5 | 7.42 | 0.250 | 30.3 | 0.024 | 40 | 0.52 |